Machine learning assisted reconstruction of positron-on-target annihilation events

Kalina Dimitrova Faculty of Physics, Sofia University

National Forum on Contemporary Space Research (NAFSKI 2023) 20.10.2023



* partially supported by BNSF: KP-06-D002_4/15.12.2020 within MUCCA, CHIST-ERA-19-XAI-009 and by the European Union - NextGenerationEU, through the National Recovery and Resilience Plan of the Republic of Bulgaria, project SUMMIT BG-RRP-2.004-0008-C01

The PADME Experiment







- Small scale fixed target experiment
 - e⁺ @ Frascati Beam Test Facility
 - Solid state target
 - Charged particles detectors: PVeto, HEPVeto, EVeto
 - Calorimeters: ECal and SAC
 - Beam monitoring system

The PADME electromagnetic calorimeter





Two photon showers

ECAL: The heart of PADME

- 616 BGO crystals, 2.1 x 2.1 x 23 cm³
- BGO covered with diffuse reflective TiO₂ paint
 - additional optical isolation: $50 100 \ \mu m$ black tedlar foils
- Scintillation light decay time O(300 ns)

Reconstruction of signals from the ECal

- The large number of close-in-time signals require a reliable method for separating them, capable of:
 - Reconstruction of the arrival time of each individual signal
 - Accurate reconstruction of the signal amplitude
- One possible method includes developing and testing neural networks with different architecture and purposes: classification and regression
- For the training of all networks were developed additional algorithms for signal simulation

The machine learning approach to PADME data: a summary

- Generation of noise + several waveforms
- Predefined signal shape ٠
 - Difference between two exponents
 - Calorimeter response ٠ function
 - Fixed rise and fall time ٠
- Random number of signals (between 0 and 4)
- Random amplitude and arrival time for each signal

Classification task to . identify the number of pulses in a waveform

٠

•

- Trained on 100 000 events
- 100% signal discrimination above 50 ns difference. 90% above 30 ns

- Convolutional autoencoder . for signal and noise description
- Unsupervised learning -• both input and desired output are the waveform arrays
- The waveforms are . successfully replicated with the noise in the signal regions significantly suppressed

- Same architecture as ٠ autoencoder network
- Supervised learning -desired output contains information about the time and amplitude
- Efficiency for time and amplitude thoroughly investigated:
 - Excellent arrival time determination
 - Problems with amplitude reconstruction

Main properties of the modified autoencoder reconstruction

Pulse identification

- Efficiency for lower numbers of signals are higher because of unrecognized signals from events with higher numbers
- For closely located signals: Most of the missed events are with dt < 10 ns
- Most of the events with amplitudes < 50mV are not identified

Arrival time and amplitude determination

- Δt distribution is symmetric, non-gaussian tails exist
- $\sigma \sim 520$ ps, RMS ~ 3.2 ns
- Strong correlation between real and reconstructed amplitude
- Worse reconstruction for the small amplitudes

Moving from simulations to real data: calibration

- Different models were trained and the best performing one was introduced to the experiment software
- All the ML methods were originally developed in Python; applying the model was made possible using the TensorFlow C API
- ML reconstruction for the ECal can be performed simultaneously with the conventional reconstruction.

Calibration: from amplitude values to energy

- For each channel were filtered and plotted only the matching signals the ones found both by the ML and conventional reconstruction
- A calibration equation was obtained for 612 of the 616 channels

$e+e- \rightarrow yy events at PADME$

- **Theoretically well-known process**, used for understanding the ECal performance
- Measurement of this cross section is important for:
 - Calibration of the photon reconstruction
 - · Monitoring of the beam intensity

- Measurements of the cross section for the annihilation of 430 MeV positrons and electrons at rest already performed and published (Phys. Rev. D 107, 012008 (2023))
- The process can be used for evaluation of the performance of any new ECal reconstruction methods developed

First try of clusterisation

- Evaluation of the time difference between the two clusters shows that the ML reconstruction provides better time resolution
- The total cluster energy for those events shows a clear peak at around 400 MeV
- Compared to the original reconstruction, the peak is at a lower energy, which indicates a problem with the calibration → more precise calibration is needed

- All of the channels are calibrated with their corresponding equations
- Standard clusterisation is performed and events with two clusters with less than 5ns difference are analyzed.

Investigation of the effect of the MINDIST parameter using $e+e- \rightarrow yy$ events

- Looking for the reason for the smaller value of the total cluster energy for e+e- → yy events when using the ML reconstruction
- **Hypothesis:** a smaller value of MINDIST may result in losing energy which the channel-by-channel calibration cannot deal with

Total Cluster Energy for clusters with <5 ns difference

MINDIST is the amount of neighboring position values added to the main signal amplitude when constructing the ML results

- Reconstruction performed on the same dataset for 4 values of MINDIST without calibration
- For each value new calibration files for the channel-by-channel calibration were produced and used for reconstucting the data a second time

10

Investigation of the effect of the MINDIST parameter using e+e- \rightarrow yy events

- The value of the total cluster energy does not change with the variation of MINDIST
- However, the higher MINDIST is, the narrower the peak is → perhaps it's better to use a higher value

(As long as it doesn't interfere with the limit for separating individual signals)

11

Investigation of the effect of the MINDIST parameter using e+e- \rightarrow yy events

- The total number of clusters for the original reconstruction and for the various ML cases is similar despite the wider peak
- Higher number of cluster couples for MINDIST=5
 - Possible cluster splitting in single-cluster events?

- The total number of clusters was integrated taking into account the difference in the peak positions
 - For ECal, cluster couples with E_{tot}>340 MeV were taken (peak is at 425 MeV)
 - For the ECaIML, cluster couples with E_{tot}>320 MeV were taken (peak is at 400 MeV)

Calculating the invariant mass for $e+e- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ events

Invariant mass for events with two clusters

13

Conclusions

- A machine learning method, specifically developed for the PADME electromagnetic calorimeter was successfully implemented in the experiment software, simultaneously with the conventional method for reconstruction
- > Applying ML techniques provides better time resolution
- The combined energy of the cluster couples at small Δt shows a clear peak at an energy around 400 MeV, close to the expected position for e+e-→γy events
- The energy given by the ML reconstruction differs from the one given by the conventional method and the results were used for investigation of the significance of one of the reconstruction parameters
- From simulation to actual physics results: successfully obtained total cluster energy and invariant mass values for e+e- → γγ events